Leaders such as Hungary's prime minister Viktor Orban and former US president Donald Trump have taken measures that undermine democracy, an expert argues.

The world's democratic recession is giving China more power to extend authoritarianism

Over the last decade, <u>the number of countries</u> considered to be liberal democracies has contracted from 41 to 32, back to the same level as in 1989. In the same period, <u>87 other countries</u> were labelled as closed autocracies or elected autocracies.

A 2021 survey by the Economist Intelligence Unit showed that only 8.4% of the world's population lived in a fully functioning democracy, this shift is being referred to as a <u>"democratic</u> <u>recession"</u>.

To many, leaders such as Hungary's prime minister Viktor Orbán, Turkey's president Recep Erdoğan and former Philippines' president Rodrigo Duterte have typified this trend. They have <u>weakened their domestic</u> political systems and undermined elections by closing down critical media. Such leaders are also reducing, or attempting to reduce, the independence of <u>their judiciaries</u>.

The gradual erosion of democratic values and freedoms, such as recent restrictions on the <u>right to protest</u> in the UK, and this slide towards authoritarianism, is opening up more space for China to dominate the global agenda with its values.

Our mission is to share knowledge and inform decisions.

About us

Crucially, such an authoritarian tilt is now starting to epitomise politics in democratic countries, such as the US, India and the UK. As these countries become less democratic, they are in effect giving more space for authoritarianism to flourish.

Trump, Modi and Johnson

Populist former US president Donald Trump openly questioned the foundations of US democracy. His attacks upon members of the <u>"fake news" media</u> rejected the role of a free press, weakening the constitution and human rights. In turn, <u>policies</u> <u>on voter suppression</u> that discourage specific groups of people from voting, <u>redistricting</u> (changing the boundaries of a constituency to favour the party in government) and the <u>politicisation of the justice system</u> by openly attacking judges <u>who ruled against his administration's policies</u>, all undermined democracy.

Under Trump there was also a major upswing in reported <u>hate</u> <u>crimes</u> against minority groups. After Trump, by mid-2021, the US had more than 400 bills pending on <u>voter suppression</u> in mainly Republican-controlled state legislatures, and more than 230 bills pending on <u>criminalising protest</u>.

In turn, many members of the Republican Party have refused to accept the result of the <u>2020 presidential election</u>. In doing this, the Republican Party goes some way to eroding public trust in the whole political system.

Prime Minister Narendra Modi has also shifted India in an authoritarian direction. He has <u>used anti-terrorism laws</u> to silence political opponents, journalists and academics, and to limit public protests against his government's policies.



Actions such as eroding the right to protest in democracies gives China more power globally, it is argued. Frans Sello Waga Machate / Alamy Stock Photo

Since 2014, violence and discrimination against India's 200 million Muslims <u>has also increased</u>. One example of this, is the National Register of Citizens and the Citizenship Amendment Act of 2019, which excluded Muslims from the same rights enjoyed by the Hindu majority.

In the UK, the populist government of prime minister Boris Johnson <u>unlawfully suspended parliament in 2019</u>. His government also introduced <u>compulsory voter ID</u>, which has been criticised as a way of restricting voting. Other laws are limiting the ability of the media and judiciary to provide <u>independent oversight</u> and to hold the powerful to account.

Authoritarian leaders revelled in the chaos of the 2020 US presidential election. Colombia's Publimetro <u>newspaper</u> ran a piece headlined: "Who's the banana republic now?" And <u>Chinese state media noted</u> that the US looked a "bit like a developing country".

What does this mean for China?

China's economic, <u>military</u> and diplomatic ascent is allowing Beijing to increasingly promote its style of politics on <u>the global</u> <u>stage</u>. Its foreign policy provides <u>up to US\$8 trillion (£6.7 trillion)</u> <u>in investment</u> to developing countries, particularly in Africa and Latin America, through its <u>Belt and Road Initiative</u>.

The strategy's name echoes the historic Silk Road from 2,000 years ago, a series of powerful trading routes connected to China. This series of investments in ports, bridges and major infrastructure around the world has given China enormous influence.

China has also built up a strong portfolio by <u>selling intelligent</u> <u>monitoring systems</u> (which can be used to censor negative public opinion online) and <u>surveillance technology</u> to other countries. It also exported its <u>social credit system</u> to Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan and Mongolia.

These are <u>Black Mirror</u>-style systems where governments can score people for taking actions that officials approve of. This development is worrying as China is now exporting <u>the</u> <u>technological means</u> (through which it has achieved its near-total social and political control) to other authoritarian-minded countries.

Read more: <u>Brazil: how populist politicians use religion to help</u> <u>them win</u>

For the last several years, Beijing has questioned the idea of universal <u>human rights at United Nations</u>' meetings. In 2018, it requested that the phrase "human rights defender" <u>be removed</u> <u>from the UN lexicon</u>. If it is able to erode the idea of these rights then it will open up more room to expand authoritarian practices across democracies.

Democratic backsliding only appears to <u>perpetuate this</u>. It also limits the ability of the west to criticise China, Russia and others for increasingly ignoring the <u>"rules-based" international order</u>, for example, in the <u>South China Sea</u> or in <u>Ukraine</u>. Beijing is currently creating an alternative way of ordering the world. China's successful <u>authoritarian-capitalist</u> <u>model</u> underpins this vision. China is also creating competing international institutions (such as the <u>Asian Infrastructure</u> <u>Investment Bank</u> and the <u>Shanghai Cooperation Organisation</u>.

Together with wider democratic decline, there is a mounting global convergence around authoritarianism. If these trends come to dominate global politics, the remaining democratic rights enjoyed in the west will be deeply threatened. At worst, they may be entirely replaced by repressive governments, heralding a new China-centric world order and the beginnings of an <u>authoritarian century</u>.

Author



Disclosure statement

Chris Ogden does not work for, consult, own shares in or receive funding from any company or organisation that would benefit from this article, and has disclosed no relevant affiliations beyond their academic appointment.